

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/670,869	09/27/2000	Jun Hirai	450100-02731	6828
20999 FROMMER I	7590 01/09/2008 AWRENCE & HAUG		EXAM	INER
745 FIFTH AV	ENUE- 10TH FL.		WANG, TED M	
NEW YORK, NY 10151			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2611	
	•			
	·		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/09/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	<del> </del>	Application No.	Annih antia
•		Application No.	Applicant(s)
,	Office Action Surrence	09/670,869	HIRAI, JUN
Office Action Summary		Examiner	Art Unit
		Ted M. Wang	2611
The Period for Re	e MAILING DATE of this communication a ply	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
WHICHEV - Extensions of after SIX (6) - If NO period - Failure to re Any reply re	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP (ER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR of MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If or reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perioply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statuceived by the Office later than three months after the mail of term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNI( 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a red d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. reply be timely filed  VTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133)
Status			
1)⊠ Resi	consive to communication(s) filed on 29	October 2007	
		is action is non-final.	
·:_	e this application is in condition for allow		ers prosecution as to the merits is
	ed in accordance with the practice under		
Disposition of			
	n(s) <u>1-3,5-8,10,11 and 13</u> is/are pending	in the application	
	of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	• •	
	m(s) is/are allowed.	awn nom consideration.	
_	n(s) <u>1-3, 5-8, 10, 11 and 13</u> is/are reject	ed	
	n(s) is/are objected to.		
	n(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	
Application Pa			
	·		
	pecification is objected to by the Examin lrawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac	•	by the Evenines
	cant may not request that any objection to the		
	acement drawing sheet(s) including the corre	* · ·	• •
	ath or declaration is objected to by the E		
	35 U.S.C. § 119	examiner. Note the attached	
<u> </u>	owledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n nriority under 25 U.C.O. C	110(a) (d) ar (5
a) ☐ All		n phonty under 35 0.5.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).
1.	Certified copies of the priority documen	ats have been received	
2.	Certified copies of the priority documen		pplication No
3.			
	application from the International Burea		, cook of the transmit orange
* See the	e attached detailed Office action for a lis		received.
	•	·	
Attachment(s)	formula O'lled (DTC 200)		
	ferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		ummary (PTO-413) )/Mail Date
i) 🔲 Information I	Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of In	formal Patent Application
Paper No(s)	Mail Date	6)	<u> </u>

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 29 October 2007 has been entered.

### Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments, filed on 10/29/2007, with respect to claims 1-3, 5-8, 10, 11 and 13 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 4. Claims 1-3 and 6-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Michener et al. (US 6,323,909) in view of Kim et al. (US 5,799,081) and Na et al. (US 6,366,731) and Knee et al. (US 6,769,128).
  - With regard claim 1, Michener et al. discloses a signal a system and method for distributing high definition television (HDTV) and standard definition television (SDTV) signals via satellite for receiving a digital satellite broadcasting signal containing at least one of a first broadcast signal in a first format and a second broadcast signal in a second format (Fig.4 and 5, column 2 lines 24-67, column 3 lines 23-50, and column 7 lines 10-45), comprising:

signal receiving means for receiving said digital satellite broadcasting signal (Fig.1, 4, and 5, and column 3 lines 51-65, and column 7 lines 10-20);

judging means for judging whether said digital satellite broadcasting signal received by said signal receiving means is in the first broadcast signal format or in the second broadcast signal format (Fig.4 and 5, and column 7 lines 21);

conversion means for converting the data structure of the second broadcast signal if it is determined by the judging means that the digital satellite broadcast signal is in said second format to generate a third broadcast signal (Fig.4 and 5 elements 345 and 350, and column 7 line 10 – column 8 line 67); and second output means for outputting the third broadcast signal generated in said conversion means from a digital interface (Fig.5 elements 345 and 350, and column 7 line 10-60).

Art Unit: 2611

Michener et al. discloses all of the subject matter as describer in the above paragraph except for specifically teaching that

- a) the received broadcasting signals are scrambled broadcasting signals and descrambling means for descrambling said scrambled first broadcast signal or said scrambled second broadcast signal extracted by said extracting means;
- b) add an analog signal to the analog signal for suppressing copying of the analog signal;
- c) generating means for generating an analog signal in accordance with the descrambled first broadcast signal and first output means for outputting the analog signal generated in said generating means from an analog interface;
- d) convert the data structure of the second broadcast signal includes rearranging a timestamp and a packet length of a transport stream of the second broadcast signal; and
- e) storage means for storing a user's information, the user's information being a function of the digital satellite broadcasting signal; transmitting means for transmitting the user information from the storage means to a broadcast station; wherein the user information is used to determine access to the digital satellite broadcasting signal.

With regard a), Michener et al. discloses a receiver station to receive HDTV and SDTV standard broadcasting signals (column 3 lines 23-50) that

were transmitted by a digital satellite system (DirecTV). According to the ATSC standard, A/52-A/54, the encoded signal is first interleaved and then scrambled before broadcasting for SDTV and HDTV. It is inherent that the received broadcasting signals with HDTV or SDTV formats are scrambled signals and since the received broadcasting signals are scrambled broadcasting signals, it is also inherent to have a descrambler in a receiver to descramble the received scrambled broadcasting signal. Examiner presents Kim's reference (US 5,799,081) to show that the descrambler (Fig.5 element 36) is included in a transport IC (Fig.4 and 5 element 29) to descramble the received broadcasting signals.

With regard b), Kim et al. teaches a copy control for a video signal with copyright signals for generating an analog signal and adding to the analog signal for suppressing copying of the analog signal (Fig.1 –4 and column n1 line 20 – column 3 line 62). It is desirable to add an analog signal to the analog signal for suppressing copying of the analog signal in order to prevent the unauthorized copy of a video program (column 2 lines 41-67).

With regard c), Michener et al. teaches a receiver station to receive HDTV and SDTV standard broadcasting signals (column 3 lines 23-50) that were transmitted by a digital satellite system (DirecTV). In column 1 line 65 to column 2 line 4, Michener et al. further teaches that the progression from terrestrial television broadcast to cable television, to DTH satellite television has allowed consumers to obtain more and more programming information

while limiting the costs that are passed to the consumers. However, all of the DTH programming has been standard definition television (SDTV), which is also called conventional definition television (CDTV). Where, the CDTV or SDTV are having analog inputs, such as either composite inputs (video input, R audio input and L audio input) or both. In column 7 lines 37-40 and Fig.4, Michener et al. further teaches that accordingly, this processing allows SDTV signals to be handled by the receiver in a conventional manner. It concludes that the DISPLAY 340 is a conventional SDTV or CDTV and the IRD of Fig.4 should have an analog signal generated and outputted to the DISPLAY 340 (conventional SDTV or CDTV).

However, Examiner cites Kim's reference to further enhance the view as described in the above paragraph.

That is, Kim et al. further teaches a integrated receiver decoder with the generating means for generating an analog signal in accordance with the descrambled first broadcast signal and the first output means for outputting the analog signal generated in said generating means from an analog interface (Fig.4 element 34 output and column 4 lines 41-67). It is desirable to have an integrated receiver decoder with the first output means for outputting the analog signal generated in said generating means from an analog interface in order to improve the connectivity of the external devices, such as analog TV, VCR, ...etc.

With regard d), Michener et al. discloses a transport multiplexing to rearrange the transport stream of the HD broadcast signal (which is MPEG-encoded) to a transport stream define in IEEE1394 (the structure conformed with the ATSC system) but fails to specify how to rearrange the data structure from one to another.

However, Na et al. teaches converting the data structure of the second broadcast signal includes rearranging a timestamp and a packet length of a transport stream of the second broadcast signal (column 7 lines 3-67).

It is desirable converting the data structure of the second broadcast signal includes rearranging a timestamp and a packet length of a transport stream of the second broadcast signal in order to improve the data transferring performance between two different standards or data structures.

Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include the apparatus as taught by Kim et al. and Na et al. in which, add an analog signal to the analog signal for suppressing copying of the analog signal; and output the first output means the analog signal generated in said generating means from an analog interface; and convert the data structure of the second broadcast signal includes rearranging a timestamp and a packet length of a transport stream of the second broadcast signal, into Micheners' receiver so as to prevent the unauthorized copy of a video program, improve the connectivity of the external

Art Unit: 2611

devices, and improve the data transferring performance between two different standards or data structures, respectively.

Michener et al., Kim et al. and Na et al. disclose all of the subject matter as describer in the above paragraph except for specifically teaching limitation e) as addressed in the above paragraph.

However, Knee et al. discloses an electronic program schedule system for a digital satellite service, CATV service (column 20 lines 63-67) and teaches storage means for storing a user's information, the user's information being a function of the digital satellite broadcasting signal (column 20 lines 51-53); transmitting means for transmitting the user information from the storage means to a broadcast station (column 20 lines 53-56); wherein the user information is used to determine access to the digital satellite broadcasting signal (column 20 lines 59-62 and column 21 lines 11-26).

The electronic guide system as taught by Knee et al. provides the user with comprehensive information about pay-per-view events, premium services or other packaged programming to which the user does not ordinarily subscribe, and which provides the user with the capability to automatically purchase such programming on demand or impulse (column 4 lines 41-47 and column 1 lines 52-57) so that the user can schedule and purchase a pay-per-view program in advance.

Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include the electronic guide system

Art Unit: 2611

as taught by Knee et al. into Michener et al., Kim et al. and Nas' receiver so as to provides the user with the capability to automatically purchase such programming on demand or impulse so that the user can schedule and purchase a pay-per-view program in advance.

The limitation of the amended limitation "wherein if said judging means judges that said received digital satellite broadcasting signal is in said first format, said analog signal is outputted from said first output means as the output of the digital satellite broadcasting signal" has already been covered by the recited limitation in claim 1 lines 9-17. All limitation has been addressed in the above paragraph.

The limitation of the amended limitation "if said judging means judges that said received digital satellite broadcasting signal is in said second format, said third broadcast signal is outputted from said second output means as the output of the digital satellite broadcasting signal" has already been covered by the recited limitation in claim 1 lines 18-22. All limitation has been addressed in the above paragraph.

In regard claim 2, the limitation that the digital satellite broadcasting signal is DSS (Direct Satellite System) broadcast signal, the first broadcast signal is an SD (Standard Definition) broadcast signal and the second broadcast signal is an HD (High Definition) broadcast signal can further be taught by Michener et al. in column 1 lines 42-64, where DirecTV system is a DSS (Direct Satellite

System), and Fig.4 and 5, column 2 lines 24-67, column 3 lines 23-50, and column 7 lines 10-45, respectively.

- □ With regard claim 3, the limitation that digital interface is IEEE 1394 interface can further be taught by Michener et al. in Fig.5 elements 345 and 350, and column 7 line 10-60.
- □ With regard claim 6, which is an apparatus claim related to claim 1, all limitation is contained in claim 1. The explanation of all the limitation is already addressed in the above paragraph.
- □ With regard claim 7, which is an apparatus claim related to claim 2, all limitation is contained in claim 2. The explanation of all the limitation is already addressed in the above paragraph.
- □ With regard claim 8, which is an apparatus claim related to claim 3, all limitation is contained in claim 3. The explanation of all the limitation is already addressed in the above paragraph.
- 5. Claims 5, 10, 11, and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Michener et al. (US 6,323,909) and Kim et al. (US 5,799,081), Na et al. (US 6,366,731), and Knee et al. (US 6,769,128) as applied above to claims 1 and 6, and further in view of Okuyama et al. (US 5,987,126).
  - With regard claim 5, Michener et al. and Kim et al. and Na et al. and Knee et al. disclose all the subject matter as described in the above paragraph except for specifically teaching that an encrypting circuit is included for encrypting the third broadcast signal.

Art Unit: 2611

However, Okuyama et al. teaches an encrypting circuit for encrypting the third broadcast signal (Fig.16 element 207 and column 19 line 57 – column 20 line 6).

It is desired to include an encrypting circuit for encrypting the third broadcast signal in order to further enhance the copyright protection (column 19 lines 45-67). Therefore, It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made to include the apparatus/method as taught by Okuyama et al. in which, an encrypting circuit for encrypting the third broadcast signal, into Michener et al. and Kim et al. and Knee et al. and Nas' IEEE1394 interface circuit so as to further enhance the copyright protection.

- With regard claim 10, which is an apparatus claim related to claim 5, all limitation is contained in claim 5. The explanation of all the limitation is already addressed in the above paragraph.
- With regard claim 11, Michener et al. and Kim et al. and Nas et al. and Knee et al. discloses all of the subject matter as described above except for specifically teaching that a recording medium recorded with a program which is readable by a computer and serves to process digital satellite broadcasting signal received.

However, Okuyama et al. further teaches that the method and apparatus for device having a digital interface and a network system using such a device and a copy protection method can be implemented in software

stored in a computer-readable medium. The computer-readable medium is an electronic, magnetic, optical, or other physical device or means that can be contain or store a computer program for use by or in connection with a computer-related system or method (column 22 lines 23-30 and Fig. 30 element 113). One skilled in the art would have clearly recognized that the method of "Michener et al. and Kim et al. and Nas et al. and Knee et al." would have been implemented in a software. The implemented software would perform same function of the hardware for less expense, adaptability, and flexibility. Therefore, it would have been obvious to have used the software in "Michener et al. and Kim et al. and Nas et al. and Knee et al." as taught by Okuyama et al. in order to reduce cost and improve the adaptability and flexibility of the communication system.

□ With regard claim 13, which is a recording medium recorded claim related to claim 10, all limitation is contained in claim 10. The explanation of all the limitation is already addressed in the above paragraph.

#### Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Ted M. Wang whose telephone number is 571-272-3053. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh Fan can be reached on 571-272-3042. The fax phone

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> Ted M Wang Examiner Art Unit 2611